## Distinguished Researcher Award Review Form

Nominee Name or Blinded ID:								
SCORING: 1	! = STK	10 = vstem)	WEAD	K (sim	ilar to I	NIH		
CRITERIA								
1. Consistent evidence of outstanding scholarly contributions to nursing knowledge.								
2. A sustained publishing record and an on-going program of research.								
3. Documented contributions of research and research-related activities within the Southern region.								
4. Evidence of influence on nursing research advancement and Career Development and Award Committee of self and others within the profession (e.g., has demonstrated mentorship activities and/or service activities in SNRS or other research- related organizations)								
TOTAL SCORE (out of 40): [reminder: lower score is best]								

# **Research in Health Disparities Award Review Form**

Nominee Name or Blinded ID:									
SCORING: 1	= STR		10 = stem)	WEAT	K (sim	ilar to I	NIH		
CRITERIA		59	Stell()						
1. Consistent evidence of outstanding scholarly contributions to nursing knowledge in improving access to care.									
2. Consistent evidence of outstanding scholarly contributions to nursing knowledge in developing interventions that address the unique health care needs of under-served populations.									
3. Consistent evidence of outstanding scholarly contributions to nursing knowledge in overcoming barriers to care or promoting receptivity of health care delivery systems to the group's unique health needs.									
4. Meets the criteria of the award to recognize the contributions of an individual or group conducting and/or promoting research that has significance for improving the health care of minorities and other under- represented groups in the Southern region.									
TOTAL SCORE (out of 40): [reminder: lower score is best]									

#### Mid-Career Researcher Award Review Form

Nominee Name or Blinded ID:							
SCORING:	1 = S7	5 10 system)	AK (sin	ilar to	NIH		
CRITERIA							
1. Nominee is more than 5 years from doctoral degree and demonstrates breadth, depth, and quality of overall research program that contributes to outcomes in nursing education, practice, health policy or population health							
2. Nominee has a research-related dissemination record to appropriate venues to meet award purpose							
3. Nominee's research program has overall impact on the profession							
4. Nominee's research program has impact in the SNRS area of the United States							
5. Nominee demonstrates influence on nursing research advancement and Career Development and Award Committee of self and others within the profession (e.g., has demonstrated mentorship activities and/or service activities in SNRS or other research-related organizations)							
TOTAL SCORE (out of 50): [reminder: lower score is best]							

# A. Jean Wood Nursing Scholarship Award Review Form

Nominee Name or Blinded ID:							
SCORING:	1 = ST	5 10 system)	AK (sin	nilar to	NIH		
CRITERIA (for review of Nominee	e's Man	•					
1. Research goals: specific aims/ problem(s)/ research question(s) are clearly stated							
2. Significance: study contributes to nursing knowledge; study will solve a problem relevant to nursing							
3. Background: background/ literature review has been thoroughly synthesized and substantiates need for the study							
4. Methods: there is agreement of purpose and methodology (e.g., is the design appropriately matched to the research questions? Is evidence provided concerning the validity and reliability? Are sampling and data collection methods appropriate?)							
5. Analysis: description of analysis methods is appropriate and clear; data analysis procedures are appropriate for the data collected and the specific aims							
6. Results and Discussion: findings are clearly and suitably presented and relate to research questions; findings are placed within the context of existing knowledge and are relevant to nursing knowledge, practice or education; implications for future directions of nursing and research are discussed							
7. References are current, appropriate, and accurately presented							
8. Paper clearly represents enhanced science and practice of nursing and deserves the award							
TOTAL SCORE (out of 80): [reminder: lower score is best]							

## Early Investigator Award Review Form

Nominee Name or Blinded ID:									
SCORING:	1 = STI		10 = vstem)	WEA	K (sim	ilar to .	NIH		
CRITERIA		·	,						
1. Nominee, who is less than 5 years from completion of doctoral degree, shows potential to develop a sustained program of research to enhance nursing science and practice									
2. Nominee demonstrates independent productivity in nursing research as evidenced by published research results in peer-reviewed journal									
3. Nominee has secured extramural funding and is within 5 years of completion of their doctoral degree									
4. Nominee's podium or poster abstract (which was developed from their own research and is accepted for presentation at the upcoming Annual Conference) is scholarly and important for advancing nursing knowledge									
TOTAL SCORE (out of 40): [reminder: lower score is best]									

#### **RINAH Award Review Form**

Nominee Name or Blinded ID:												
SCORING: 1	SCORING: 1 = STRONG 10 = WEAK (similar to NIH system)											
CRITERIA			,									
1. Nominee's paper represents disseminated research findings, or important theoretical, or methodological advances in the official SNRS journal, Official Journal, within the past year												
2. Paper reflects and advances the image of nursing as a scientific discipline												
3. Paper reflects strong science by an innovative, systematic approach grounded in knowledge which will generate significant knowledge for nursing practice/ science and related disciplines												
4. Paper addresses a significant gap in nursing knowledge.												
5. Paper integrates diverse perspectives of scientific inquiry (e.g., biological, sociological, etc) to influence health care and health sciences												
6. Implications for future research are relevant to the Career Development and Award Committee of future nurse scholars												
7. There is evidence that this research contributes to the scholarly work of nurses and other health disciplines.												
8. Paper may impact not only research but also policy, practice, and the public to broadly disseminate innovative knowledge for societal well-being												
9. Merit: this paper is worthy of the RINAH Authorship Award												
TOTAL SCORE (out of 100): [reminder: lower score is best]												

## **Clinical Research Award Review Form**

Nominee Name or Blinded ID:											
SCORING: 1 = STRONG 10 = WEAK (similar to NIH system)											
CRITERIA											
1. Demonstrates a robust program of research at a clinical agency.											
2. Shows consistent evidence of scholarly contributions to nursing knowledge.											
3. Demonstrates a sustained track record of clinical nursing research.											
4. Provides leadership for research in the clinical setting through role modeling, mentoring, and teaching.											
5. Provides documentation of contributions of research and research-related activities within the Southern region.											
TOTAL SCORE (out of 50): [reminder: lower score is best]											